

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.     | FILING DATE            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/799,307          | 03/12/2004             | Jae-Hyun Kim         | 8054L-50 (LW9007US/HJ) | 8101             |  |
| 22150<br>F CHAIL& A | 7590<br>SSOCIATES, LLC | EXAMINER             |                        |                  |  |
| 130 WOODBI          | JRY ROAD               | CHIEN, LUCY P        |                        |                  |  |
| WOODBURY            | , NY 11797             |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                     |                        |                      | 2871                   | 2871             |  |
|                     |                        |                      |                        |                  |  |
|                     |                        |                      | MAIL DATE              | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                     |                        |                      | 03/09/2010             | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

|            | Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|------------|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/799,307 |                 | KIM ET AL.   |  |  |
|            | Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |  |
|            | LUCY P. CHIEN   | 2871         |  |  |

|   | Examiner  | ALCOIN   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | LUCY P. CHIEN   | 2871   |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add   | ress                                     |  |  |  |  |
| E REPLY FILED <u>01 February 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must limely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Confitued Examination (RGE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of following time. |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A  | periods:  The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07( Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date   | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE<br>f).   | FIRST REPLY WAS FI   | LED WITHIN TWO                           |  |  |  |  |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex-<br>under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s<br>set forth in (0) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later<br>may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)<br>NOTICE OF APPEAL   | tension and the corresponding amount of<br>shortened statutory period for reply origing<br>than three months after the mailing date   | of the fee. The appropri-<br>nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of the                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS  3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, i (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo   | nsideration and/or search (see NOT  |  | cause                                    |  |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  | tter form for appeal by materially rec  |  | ne issues for                            |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a<br>NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  | corresponding number of finally reje  | ected claims.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  |   | imely filed amendmer                                       | nt canceling the                         |  |  |  |  |
| 7. A for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 77.19-25 and 28-31. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8.9.12-16 and 26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   | be entered and an e  | xplanation of                            |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>  | vercome all rejections under appea  | l and/or appellant fail                                    | s to provide a                           |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio<br/>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>   | n of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attach                                    | ed.                                      |  |  |  |  |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu     It is respectfully noted that Applicant has amended Clair  |   |  | ce because:                              |  |  |  |  |
| 12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13.  Other:   | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| /David Nelms/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2871   | Lucy P Chien<br>Examiner  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 2871